

HERITAGE COMMISSION FOCUS GROUP INPUT – MARCH 2, 2020

MEETING DATE	COMMUNITY GROUP/ADVISORY BODY	COMMENT
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Early input from the Heritage Commission on legislative applications before it goes to Public Hearing so that the Heritage Commission can work with applicant is a positive development.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	African American Resource Protection Subcommittee has improved ability to preserve these resources.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Inclusive groups / multiple rounds of process. Review process is transparent and fair, though may not always agree with outcome.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Do not like the lack of protection of environmental resources and that they are not seriously considered as part of development review.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Trails exists, which is good, but many of them are not connected.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Do not like not being aware of nuances and trends of development and how it affects heritage resources.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Feels like too many special exception uses, especially in some districts.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Lack of enforcement, inability to apply Zoning Ordinance when projects are not receiving required approvals/permits and then asking for forgiveness and are approved after the fact to address violation.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Developers running county and obliterating heritage in eastern part of County. Protecting resources will affect the future of county and we should do more.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Intent of special exception review to protect resources is not happening due to developer, engineer, legal team's overall strength in review process.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Definitions are complicated.
3/3/2020	Heritage Commission	Pattern of conversion of commercial uses to residential uses - residential uses are too predominate.